

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/313,659 | YANG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Abul Kalam | 2814 | |

All Participants:

(1) Examiner Abul Kalam.

(2) Applicant's Representative Adam C. Valentine.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner notified the applicant that the seventh paragraph of claim 15 contained 112, 1st paragraph issues, which could be corrected through an examiner's amendment. The applicant suggested amendments, which the examiner agreed, would correct the 112 issues and place the application in better condition for allowance. Please see the examiner's amendment in the Notice of Allowability.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Abul Kalam/
Examiner, Art Unit 2814

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)